

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2003            LR 11

all-consuming debate here forever and ever and ever. If we wanted something very precise, then prior language offered by Senator Schimek and others that called for interdiction zones and, maybe not the two-mile strip, but maybe the one-acre site thing I threw out, or somebody else's better idea, but we can make it very geographically specific. But it seems to me the competing approach here is, are we going to limit and control this so we don't have to be totally consumed by it in the Legislature, have it affect our electoral politics, the recruitment of candidates, the membership of the body, and everything else, forever and ever and ever; or are we going to restrict it quite circumspectly and obviously in the constitution, so we don't have to devote the rest of our lives to it, irrespective of...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...who is and who isn't here? Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Brashear. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Jensen.

SENATOR JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. And, yes, I, too, have some questions on the amendment. Senator Schimek, would you respond just to a couple things that I have...would like cleared up, please?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR JENSEN: There is nothing in this amendment that mentions whatsoever any local vote, is that correct?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That is correct.

SENATOR JENSEN: So that even...I don't know if there would be such a thing, but if a community decided I don't want that there but still we, as a state, say it's going to be there, it's going