

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2003            LR 11

SENATOR SMITH:    So that subsection was inserted mostly for PR purposes?

SENATOR SCHIMEK:    Well, PR, but intent, I think.

SENATOR SMITH:    Okay.

SENATOR SCHIMEK:    I mean, intent is very important.    And I don't want to take your time, so...

SENATOR SMITH:    That's fine.

SENATOR SCHIMEK:    ...go ahead and ask any other questions that you might have.

SENATOR SMITH:    Well, I'll let you respond, and then I'll continue.

SENATOR SCHIMEK:    We talked about intent.    Several people have talked about that on the floor.    And Senator Stuthman, I think, and Senator Erdman, intent is very important in legislative record.    And Senator Chambers has taught me and others in this body as well that it's legislative intent that courts look at to interpret laws that we pass.    We don't always pass laws that are absolutely clear as ice water.    I mean, we just don't.    And so sometimes the courts need to go back and see what our intent was.

SENATOR SMITH:    Okay.    Thank you.    I rise with concern that due to the fluidity of gambling across our country, and changing regulations and so forth, this subsection basically takes away what the feds may grant us at a later time.    The feds may say, hey, this needs to be more of a state issue, and all of a sudden, if this would pass, we've already given away any authority we may have.    And it says, in accordance with federal law.    Yes, I understand that.    But federal may establish something and then delegate to states down the road other regulation.    And we are giving that away, and that is something that I believe that we should hold onto whenever and wherever possible.    I'm still concerned that local entities that have already requested that funding be provided to them for