

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2003

LR 11

racetracks. I think the racing industry has been one of the first gaming chances in the state of Nebraska. I think they should be included in it. The real thing that concerns me is, when you make statements like, it is the intent, one of the things that concerns me in looking into the future of this body, in four years from now, the people have voted that we have term limits, so in four years from now, about half of this body is going to be gone. And we're making statements here today, you know, it is the intent. In six years, the whole body might be changed. So that is something. There's a wealth of institutional knowledge here that I am admired with. And it just gives me a lot of satisfaction that decisions are made because of the people that have committed their time, you know, to hopefully do things right for the state. So I am very much concerned when something is put into a bill that it is the intent, hopefully, to come down the road. But I'm just really concerned about that. I don't know how we would put it in there that the racetracks would be involved in it. But it's probably, the way it looks right now, the first one to the plate would have the better chance of getting it. So that is my comment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuthman. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you. Mr. President and members, I have quite a few points that I want to address, and I'm not sure I'm going to have time. So I'll try to get through what I can. First of all, I wish to clarify my responses to Senator Redfield. And Senator Redfield, we had a call from the Indian Commission saying that indeed that property held by the Ponca at 17th and E is held in trust, but can't be used for purposes of gaming. It has to be held in trust for that specific purpose. So what I told you, I just wanted to verify, and I wanted you to have another opinion. I think that we all need to think about what this process is going to entail. If this body would pass this piece of legislation this year, it would go on the ballot in 2004. But before that, we would, I think, be wise to pass the enabling legislation, which is when we get into all the questions about revenues and everything else, age limits, et cetera. That's when we get into that, is partly in