

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2003            LR 11

SENATOR SMITH:     ...I don't think they would find it either.  
Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK:  Thank you, Senator Smith.  Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR:  Mr. President, members of the body, I appreciate what the group has done, the group that met, the groups that came together.  This issue is before us.  We've got to deal with it.  And so now I think we have to examine the work product.  And as I examine the work product, I have some questions.  I wonder if Senator Janssen could yield, please, to colloquy.

SENATOR CUDABACK:  Would you yield, Senator Janssen, to a question from Senator Brashear?

SENATOR JANSSEN:  Certainly.

SENATOR BRASHEAR:  Senator Janssen, I'm just trying to make sure of certain things, and make them of record.  As I understand it, under part (4), which just precedes this amendment, of the constitution, we now have five racetracks operating.  Is that correct?

SENATOR JANSSEN:  I believe that's correct, Senator.

SENATOR BRASHEAR:  And they all offer simulcasting?

SENATOR JANSSEN:  I can't tell you that for sure.  But I believe they do.  Because live horse racing isn't so terrific anymore.

SENATOR BRASHEAR:  Right.  Now, when we drop down then in the additional language in section (5), and we say...we define gaming for ourselves, we're not delegating that anymore to anybody else, we're defining it in our constitution, and we say, gaming means any game of chance played with cards, dice, equipment, or a machine, are we bringing simulcasting into the definition of gaming as it's contained in the constitution, or are we excluding it because it's a prior subpart, or is that open to litigation and interpretation by the courts?