

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2003

LB 54

a definition that we choose, and we always say for purposes of sections, whatever they are, then we say what these terms shall mean. So "relative" will mean what we say it means; therefore, anything that I offer to that definition is germane to that definition and it is in the right place. I'm not sure what it is you feel that I'm trying to accomplish by this amendment, but it is not to legalize same-sex marriage or anything else. It's to indicate that this new definition of "relative" cannot include a consideration of a person's sexual orientation. There are many times we put intent language in a bill. We do it in the budget bill all the time. We do it in any number of bills in the form of legislative findings, and those legislative findings are not considered the active portion of the bill. Those findings explain and provide the rationale. The definition does not create a right in and of itself. It sets up the categories of persons who are going to try to take advantage of a right. So, while we're creating a new category by adopting a definition for the first time, I want to be sure that included in that definition is some intent language; that the fact of this language I'm talking about not being a part of the definition cannot be considered indirectly in determining suitability of a person otherwise qualified to be disqualified. That's what I'm trying to do. And I had stated on a number of occasions that when bills come up which seem appropriate to me to raise this issue, I will do so. Since I have an amendment pending, we're going to have to have a little discussion. Is Senator Erdman available?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Erdman.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How much time do I have, Mr. Speaker...Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: You have about a minute...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: A minute?

SENATOR CUDABACK: About a minute, 11 seconds.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: A minute and 11 seconds?