

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2003 LB 54

child, and if the best interest of that child is to be placed where the department has placed him, based on the guidelines that they have explained to me and I have explained to you and the body on the floor, then I think they're doing their job. I think they've alleviated your concerns. And if we can eliminate the language that is a concern to you from the bill, then we can both move forward and make sure that we...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...we can actually accomplish the same goal. So that's what I would offer to you, and, obviously, you're entitled to do what you will with that, but I think it's fair, I think it's reasonable, and we'll see what happens. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Erdman. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, before I address Senator Erdman's offer, I'd like to ask Senator Raikes a question, if he's here.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes, would you respond to a question from Senator Chambers?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, are you willing to strike the language relative to...well, that will use the word "relative" twice, the language regarding relative or relatives?

SENATOR RAIKES: I'm behind on this discussion, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, what Senator Erdman had suggested is that to ease my troubled mind, that wasn't his language, strike your amendment so that no definition of "relative" remains, and that would leave everything where it is now in terms of determining the suitability of a person or, if they're married, two people to be deemed foster parents without the training, as is envisioned in LB 54. That's what he had said is the offer, to strike your amendment. Then it would also take away the