

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2003 LB 54

I'll turn my light on.

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, let me ask you this question then, Senator. The other way you could go about this is say that if training really isn't that important for providers who are taking care of their relatives, maybe it isn't that important for providers who are not taking care of their relatives. They're taking care of children that are not relatives. So why wouldn't you exempt it for, or at least allow for a waiver, for any foster care provider?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: I think you have to be careful as far as what we do in a state law versus what we're allowed to do under federal law, as far as the guidelines and access to dollars and what those waivers include. And I can't speak completely to that, but I do think what we're proposing here is not eliminating the training altogether, because I don't think anybody is advocating that. What we are talking about is, is that a lot of the issues that you're going to learn about in training,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...as I understand it...

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Jensen, on the Raikes amendment.

SENATOR JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, we have currently in Nebraska about 4,700 wards that the department have in out-of-home care. A vast majority of those, of course, are placed in licensed homes or facilities, but there is about 700 that are placed with relatives who are approved for placement, not licensed for placement. So, still, a vast majority are receiving training, are required to receive training. I wanted to read for you Ron Ross' statement to the committee. I think it kind of outlines what they're thinking