

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 28, 2003 LR 11

the point was made earlier about, well, Missouri had limited the constitution; they did it. AM0298 kind of clarifies what it is. We're trying to limit this too. But I want to give you background on what actually happened in Missouri. And my good friend, Senator Friend, and I were talking and I guess what I would say to him about his position was you were once blind but you still could see; you could be lost but we'll get your found, so pay attention here. In Missouri what happened was they passed a constitutional amendment that said that you could have gambling on essentially what they call riverboats, or the boats would be on the river. Well, here's what the innovative casino owners did. They dug out these big pits. They threw a bunch of water in them from the Missouri River, or from the river next to it. They filled them up with water and they put these facilities in there. So, actually, they didn't build a riverboat per se; they built a riverboat moat. Then what happened was, is that...and I saw this firsthand because it was a fascinating thing to go actually look at what these facilities where. So they had this big sign like a scale, like somehow they were limiting the number on and off the actual casino, and you'd stand there and there would be a big line of people and there would be a big sign about how much...how many people could come on and come off, so they were trying to give the impression that it was an actual boat, but it wasn't. It was built on the ground there. So they were built in moats. They were ruled on that they were unconstitutional. So then what they did, obviously, they closed the casinos down, right? No. See, what happened was the casinos stayed operating. They were open. Then the casinos funded their own proposal to amend the constitution to do what it is they were already doing and make it legal. And guess what. It passed. Of course it passed, because those people aren't going to kick out those great generators of economic development, as everyone seems to think they are. So that's actually what happened in Missouri. Again, just because we pass something doesn't necessarily mean the voters are going to say, okay, it's over, the issue is done, because we have outside interests that are driving this just as hard as some of your constituents who have called you and said, it is a great idea, I want to vote. And that's what they have said, they want to vote. They want to be a part of the excitement. They want to say, hey, I voted against it or I