

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 28, 2003 LR 11

what's in it and you know very well what's in it and so I'm not going to do that. What I am going to do is talk about what I think could happen here. And, first of all, I appreciated Senator Brashear's comments this morning and his discussion, because I thought some of his suggestions were good ones and I certainly would be willing to listen to them. I also think that there may be other good ideas out there and I'd like to hear those ideas too. What I would like to see happen is I would like to have the parties who are interested in seeing something put on the ballot in 2004 get together and talk about some kind of a middle ground here, some kind of an approach that everybody could support. And my only...my basic, basic requirements are that it not be limitless and that we include the tribes in whatever we do. And I would also say to you that I don't think casinos are like golf courses. I don't think they're like anything else because, practically speaking, you can have too many of them and then they become little nickel and dime type places that you see in every town, in a little storefront with casino written on it, as somebody mentioned in here earlier today you see in South Dakota. So I do think that limit needs to be there and we need to decide what that limit should be. I want you to think about this. The measure could go to the ballot, if we can ever agree on anything, it could go to the ballot in 2004. I encouraged you earlier in the discussion to do something this year just in case that petition ballot issue got approved by the Supreme Court and we wanted to put something on a special election ballot. Well, I did find out, Senator Tyson, for your sake and the rest of us as well, that the Supreme Court isn't going to hear that until June. So it's going to be...it's a ways down the line yet before I think we will hear anything about that particular case. So I'm suggesting to you that, if we wanted to, we could probably wait until 2004 to make a final decision on a constitutional amendment. We could either leave both of these here on General File and go into a room somewhere and work for next week or the next month or whatever it takes and come back with that kind of a compromise type of amendment, or we could...we could make it last till next year if we wanted to. We could put both of these bills on Select File, incidentally too, and do the same thing. Or we could wait till next year to take a final vote on any constitutional amendment, although I'm not sure that would be a