

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 28, 2003 LR 11

we're not looking at the reality of the world. Where I live, where I live, people go across the river. Are we losing money? Is it bad? Yes, gambling is bad, I attest to that. The casinos up...they send out, into the rural areas, they send buses. They get them filled up. So we are losing, you know, whether it's revenue. I think we're losing revenue. Whether it's for property tax relief, I don't think it's for property tax relief. I think we should do with...if we pass something in this Legislature, it's passed by the people, we should do it like we did with the state lottery, maybe for educational innovations and for environment, something like that. But I think we need to, as a Legislature, have some control over the issue. That's where I'm coming from. And, Senator Beutler, would you like the rest of my time? Yeah. Yeah, I give my time to Senator Beutler. Yeah.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Hartnett, thank you. We talked a little earlier about what...limiting the concept in the constitution, whether that was appropriate or not. And I've been trying to get material from other states, and it is not at all uncommon for states who see...to work out compromises that involve a limited number of locations in the states, and I'll try to pass that information along to you as I get it. But in Missouri, for example, the casino gambling is authorized only on the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers. So they have put into their constitution a good piece of language here, and part of it is that limitation to the riverboats. So that's one way of doing it and it's in the constitution. In Colorado, they've done it a different way. They've actually limited these...this particular form of gaming to certain cities and towns, I think most of which are in some of the more rustic areas, in order to promote tourism. But all of this is in their constitution. They have one, two...they go on at two full pages of material in their constitution, including how much of the gross proceeds goes to particular places and what the treasurer is to do with the money and how the balances are dealt with and how the money is divided up. So it is not at all uncommon to put into the constitution certain kinds of defining, limiting concepts. It may have great appeal to open it up entirely to the Legislature,