

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 28, 2003 LR 11

detail in the constitution and some regret, I think statewide, with regard to the... "encalifying" all that material into the Nebraska Constitution. But I think it illustrates the point that when the people become frustrated with the Legislature, they are apt to adopt things that have too much detail for the constitution, sometimes for fear that the Legislature will come back and change it since the Legislature wouldn't approve it in the first place. And not only do those propositions that go to the people tend to have too much detail, but they tend always to be slanted towards a particular special interest group, depending on what special interest group put them up, and that's natural, of course. You're not going to... if you're in a... if you have a particular interest, you're not going to spend a lot of money putting something on the ballot that doesn't have some advantage from your particular perspective. So that's the second problem. I mean, Senator Brashear says, on the one hand, why don't we just let them put it on, but then he didn't like Initiative 300 and that was a good example, I think, of some excess, of a form of excess, that happens when people get frustrated with the Legislature. And that's why I hope we can work out some compromise here and get something on the ballot that is a reasonable proposition. Senator Stuhr's arguments about the evils of gambling, there is a downside to gambling, those arguments do apply with a constitutional amendment like this because you are leaving it open to decide to have gambling all across the state; that is, to make access easier to people all across the state. Those in the middle part of the state that are not particularly close to a casino right now, you could make them very close to a casino. I think one of the basic decisions we need to make is whether we want to move gambling physically closer to people. That's a fundamental kind of decision. I don't think it's too much to put that decision into the constitution, and that was the purpose of the interdiction zone--to keep the locations of the casinos near the locations of the foreign casinos, so that increasing numbers of Nebraskans were not exposed to gambling. So it... and having... there is some balance between having a whole lot in the constitution and having it so conceptual in nature that it is effectively no sort of limitation whatsoever, and I think that's what you have here with this particular provision. If you carefully read these words, there is no form of gambling...