

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 28, 2003 LR 11

probably should support based on my attempts to legalize sports betting. I would like to ask Senator Janssen another question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yes, yes, yes, yes, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Janssen, this would allow sports betting to be authorized, as I read it, wouldn't it?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Hmm?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It says games of chance played for money, credit, or any representative of value using cards. It doesn't say playing cards. A bookie could use cards,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...dice, equipment. The equipment that bookies and sports operators use could come in under that, but I will not support this amendment because I'm not in favor of the gambling industry controlling this state. I have more confidence in the local bookie, and the only reason I would push for legalizing sports betting is because so many other forms of gambling are legal. And my view was gambling for everybody or gambling for nobody but not every form of gambling. Right now the churches, the schools, the unions, everybody else can gamble. White-collar gambling, frilly-collar gambling, no-collar gambling, I want some frayed-collar gambling, which is what the sports bettors could be classified as. So that would be the only kind of expanded gambling I would support. This is poorly crafted.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It is not wise. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Stuhr, followed by Senator Janssen and seven others.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the