

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 27, 2003 LR 14

provisions in it also. It did include the horse racing. And I believe my constitutional amendment had horse racing with slots only. This amendment would give horse racing the slots and the table games. So I would argue that this is considerably different. I understand where Senator Erdman is coming up with. But I think this is significantly different, and I would say that this amendment should only need the necessary 25 votes.

SPEAKER BROMM: The Chair will take just a moment. The Chair has given this some thought and feels that it is a very close decision. But in respect to the fact that some of the ingredients of Senator Schrock's original LRCA were different, and additional requirements and factors to the horse racing issue, the Chair finds that this is not substantially the same, and therefore would require 25 votes. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I rise again in opposition to LR 14CA, and raising another issue that was touched on earlier, but that is land values in or around the interdiction zone. We've seen the consternation and hurt of the consternation across rural Nebraska, where a highly inflated price is paid for ranch land, and how that affects the values of neighboring land. And it's hard to constitutionally consider all of the factors that are contained in that decision to value land, but I believe that this further complicates the scenario. Here we have land inside the interdiction zone that's worth a lot more, but there's the value on land outside the interdiction zone, and the constitution doesn't provide an avenue for providing a fair assessment of the land just outside the interdiction zone, because the comparable sales that were used might be the only comps available, and so a county assessor may be forced to use those values inside the interdiction zone to establish the assessed value outside the interdiction zone. That's just another issue that I believe points to the negative effects of LR 14CA, and yet another issue that should be considered that I think lead to a single subject challenge to LR 14CA. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Tyson.

SENATOR TYSON: I wish to speak on the bill, not the amendment,