

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 27, 2003 LR 14

and go. We've seen some get on there that were maybe a little more restrictive than we would prefer. We've seen some that sounded great, sounded great. We're going to lower your taxes. We're going to cap spending with this ballot measure, and subsequently the petition and so forth. You know what? Still failed. It was bad policy. That petition, that some might think was so close to being placed on the ballot last fall, didn't make it because not only was it bad policy, it was drafted poorly, and right won out. I would imagine that the same challenges will exist, as I said, for LR 14CA,...

SPEAKER BROMM PRESIDING

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: ...based on the single subject clause. Let's take Branson, Missouri. They've kept gambling out of there. They've developed tourism. It's a family-friendly place. And they did it without being threatened, so to speak. Now, there's always rumors that gambling might go in there, but it hasn't. Perhaps my biggest concern is, an activity that is otherwise illegal for a group of friends to do, let's make it legal as long as it goes to the government, or...the government and/or a big contractor of the service. I have a problem with that. And certainly, we don't want to politicize the location, because in this LR 14CA it looks to me like western Nebraska, as some might say...well, is left out.

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BROMM: Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, I do have amendments. Senator Schrock had the first amendment, but Senator Schimek, as principal introducer, has the prerogative to offer her amendments first. Senator, I have two amendments from you. First is AM0544, but I have a note that you'd like to withdraw that amendment. Mr. President, Senator Schimek would then offer AM0560. (Legislative Journal page 674.)