

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 27, 2003 LR 14

our discussion? Okay, thank you. Go ahead.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you. Thank you, Senator Schimek.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: What I hear you saying is that you are willing to compromise on that particular issue in terms of all counties, all municipalities, everybody throughout Nebraska sharing in revenue that might come from this?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Not of the state's revenues, Senator Bourne, in the sense that I don't think that Douglas County ought to be able to apply for grants from the state's funds. Because Douglas County will have its own source of revenue and benefits from this. But I don't think that the state of Nebraska should be precluded from spending some of their state dollars in Douglas County for, as we talked about, the veterans' home. And I would be willing to put clarifying language in to that effect. Does that...that doesn't do it for you, does it?

SENATOR BOURNE: No. I don't see how any senator in this body who's contemplating having a casino in their community could support language such as that. I just...what you're saying is that that county, where the gambling or the casino might be located, cannot share in the revenues generated by that...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No, no, no, no, no, that's not what I'm saying. I'm saying they can't share in the state's revenues. I'm saying that they're going to get sales tax, they're going to get property tax, they're going to get some kind of an excise tax, or whatever you want to call it, off the casino for being there. They may even get an up-front Cash Fund settlement to get the casino in there in the first place. They're going to get employment, they're going to get hotel rooms filled, they're going to get convention center business, they're going to get tourism. I mean, they're going to have multiple dollars circulating through their economy. And I don't think you can pass something like this, Senator Bourne, unless there's some provision for the rest of the state. And that's why that was drawn that way. But again, I don't mean that the state can't