

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 26, 2003 LR 14

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...in draft to what was put out before, they are going to look at this as a money grab by private interests from our constitution. And I think the citizens of the state of Nebraska have been very responsible in governing the way that the constitution has been amended. The final thing that I think is important to look at, there is some question in my mind based on other states' involvement with casinos, particular in regards to the Indian Gaming Act of Congress, about if we can realistically figure out a way to limit the number of casinos that you will see in the state of Nebraska. There is some question about whether you even need a compact to operate those facilities and whether or not that's a guaranteed right that they would have. The day that this was passed, the next day, once the election was certified, you could go out and start building casinos without a compact with the state of Nebraska. Those are some of the other questions that I think we need to answer on LR 14CA because I think that would be allowable. I think you can't regulate those once it happens. Once we open up the state to Class III gambling, I don't...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...think you can say we're going to regulate these on the reservations to the extent that we would like. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I rise in opposition to LR 14CA. Throughout my time in the Legislature, it's been interesting to see how these issues evolve and I am...I often, when I'm in the community, ask constituents what they think ought to be done in terms of gambling. And it's been an interesting response. Is it 100 percent either way? Absolutely not. But one of the most enlightening discussions, I believe, that has taken place, at least in my district and with my constituents of the 48th District, was in a discussion with someone who had moved from Council Bluffs to Scottsbluff, and I would...if we tend to categorize people as activists or nonactivists on gambling or other issues, I would say he's not an activist. Politically, he is not an activist on issues, but