

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 26, 2003 LB 487

that...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I had an amendment that I was going to propose, but after talking to Senator Redfield, I'm not going to offer it at this time. But I will state what it is because, by the time this bill comes up on Select File, I may have changed my mind. When we list the groups and the categories of persons against whom these types of discrimination cannot occur, conspicuously absent is sexual orientation. I don't believe anybody ought to face this kind of discrimination, and Senator Redfield pointed out to me that the bill mirrors the language of a federal law. Sexual orientation is not in that law. I don't feel bound by what a federal law says, because they cannot dictate to the state, they have not tried to do that, and what they have offered could be deemed by a person such as me as the minimum, but more could be added. Additional protections could be granted. So I'd like to ask Senator Redfield a question or two for the record.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Redfield, would you yield?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Yes, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Redfield, I'm going to emphasize again I'm asking you these questions for the record, not suggesting anything about your view that I'm challenging. Do you think that if a person...that a person, because of his or her sexual orientation, should be exposed to the kinds of discrimination that this bill is designed to prohibit?

SENATOR REDFIELD: No, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If it would develop that sexual orientation was being used to discriminate against a category of people, would you be opposed to that taking place?