

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 26, 2003 LB 487

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Quandahl. Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Bromm, and I can continue with Senator Beutler. The reality here is we're only talking about the model, the model that the vendors sell, so it's a mathematical formula, usually a computer model, and they would be filing that with our Department of Insurance. They would do that with or without the amendment. What the amendment would do is say that our Department of Insurance would not have to give that information out to anyone from New York or Florida that came in and requested it, and that's just to protect them competitively. Now it's a very difficult formula. This isn't something like a sunshine law where we're hiding anything from the public, because it wouldn't really be understandable in that kind of a form. But what our Department of Insurance would do is go back and look at that model and to make sure that they, in fact, are not using factors that they would be prohibited from using in that model. So you are exactly right. It's referring back at that level, that regulatory level, so that they would be able to go back and make sure that, in fact, things that are banned are not being utilized. Senator Beutler, if you'd like...

SPEAKER BROMM: Were you asking a question?

SENATOR REDFIELD: ...to continue, you can have the rest of my time.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Redfield.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, thank you. Just to explore that same matter a little further, given the fact that it's the intent of the bill and your intent that they should review these models, I assume there would be no objection to language on Select File that directed them to review these models. I...given the experience in the past, I don't trust bureaucracies to do always what we think they should be doing, unless we tell them explicitly to do it, and I assume, given your intent, that there would be no objection to simple language in the bill directing them to review these models on some sort of systematic basis to