

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 26, 2003 LB 487

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And the fact that...the fact that this broad language appears in a particular section may indicate some kind of intent, but, in fact, the language says any filing related to credit information. And my...you know, my question would be, would there be any objection to changing it, for example, to saying any filing under this section?

SENATOR REDFIELD: No, that would be fine and we could do that now or we could do that on Select.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Senator Quandahl, if I could ask...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Quandahl, would you reply to a question?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Yes. Yes, I will.

SENATOR BEUTLER: If I could ask you the same question, would there be any objection if this amendment were limited to any filing required by Section 9?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: And I wasn't following the conversation before, so let me see if I understand what the question is.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah, I'm sorry.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: The question is, is that you would like to limit the applicability of this amendment just to required filings under this particular act?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Under Section 9 of the act.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Under Section 9, which is just the credit scoring models?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right. That's the section where you've placed the amendment, which, according to Senator Redfield, is the item that you're attempting to get at. So the idea would be just to