

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 25, 2003 LB 217

for being germane, a lot of people have wondered whether things that I say when I'm discussing an issue are germane. It's for each of us to determine how to go about making the point that we want to make. So everything that I say is germane to the subject. In a court of law, it is required that whatever you offer be relevant evidence, also that it be probative. "Probative" means that it comes...it moves more toward proving toward something than not. "Relevant" means that it is related to or has a direct connection to what is before the court. So if a person is straying too far, the lawyer on the other side says, I object, Your Honor, that is irrelevant. And if the judge agrees with the lawyer that what is being said has no direct bearing on what is before the court, the objection is upheld and the person is not allowed to pursue that line of questioning. But courts know that different lawyers have different ways of making points, that not everything can be gotten into the proper context for a witness to answer a question by using two or three words or two or three sentences. So the lawyer will say, Your Honor, I'm going to connect this up, or I'm going to tie it all in. And the judge will say, very well, and let the lawyer proceed. If, after going through these steps, the lawyer comes up with a question that is relevant, then the question is allowed. So, although not a Christian, I believe this idea of using parables as Jesus did, or fables as Aesop did, or little tales and stories as Abraham Lincoln did, are effective ways to make a point, when just straight discourse or assertions will not carry the day. So everything I'm saying is relevant. And you all notice how I tie it all in. You all didn't know that when I talked about David I was doing that so I could call to your attention young Mr. O'Hanlon, who no longer is on the floor. As far as making a motion to pull a bill from committee, not only has such a motion been made before, but it has succeeded on occasion, when the Legislature felt that there was something unique about that bill and the circumstances, unique enough to override what the committee has chosen to do or not to do.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I do not believe that my colleagues are persuaded at this point that there's anything to be gained by