

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2003 LB 689

that would not change it much would be if it was sold to another church or synagogue, for instance, and that, of course, would not bring it under the taxing law. So I see it as unnecessary, as very frustrating to local persons, and not productive in the long haul.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kruse. Senator Connealy, followed by Senator Tyson.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. President, members. This does add costs. I've talked to the assessor back in Thurston County, in my district, and she says that, because of the property structure in that county, it could cost up to \$40,000. We had a fiscal note that didn't have very much information in it. In talking to Larry Dix, from the counties association, said a small county, a rural county, could be as much as \$20,000 a year to do this. I don't have solid numbers, but it could be up to \$60,000 for Lancaster County to do the airport. I think at this time is not the time to put an extra burden on counties for information that we don't know for sure what the reason is. If this bill advances, I think that we ought to put all property on, as I talked before. And I will bring back that amendment on Select if this bill does advance. I just don't think we need it at this time. If we're going to do it, we ought to do it to everyone. But this is not the time to add more costs to counties, and so I rise in opposition to the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Tyson, you may speak from there, if you care to.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the body. I would ask a question of Senator Redfield.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Redfield, would you respond to a question?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator.

SENATOR TYSON: First of all, Senator Redfield, as I told you before, this is not Senator Tyson pick on Senator Redfield day. But I would like to know what is the purpose of this bill? I'm