

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2003 LB 226

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Redfield?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Yes, you are correct, they are obligated to do so.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay. And on the right side of the sheet, on the constitutional and statutory provisions, the union is not obligated at all.

SENATOR REDFIELD: That's correct.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And, in fact, they could refuse to represent that employee in those kinds of cases.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. President and members, I have a question of Senator Redfield also.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond to a question, Senator Redfield?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Certainly. Yes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: This is on the amendment. The way I read it, it's to strike, completely, Section 2 and 4?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Actually, it would leave Section 48-217 as it now stands in the statute book, so it would undo the crossed out language in 14, 15. That would be replaced.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Say that again.

SENATOR REDFIELD: On the green copy, lines 14 and 15, where it's crossed out,...