

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 21, 2003 LB 234

drafter, when I asked, whether all of the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act were intended to apply in this area, to the law that's being made, or whether somehow it was distinguishing a part of the Administrative Procedures Act. And so the amendment would say simply, not hearings, but proceedings under this section would be subject to the Administrative Procedure Act, clarifying that the act was intended to apply everywhere it was, in fact, applicable. Third and finally, it seemed to me that the one provision that applied to food stamps, and it is a kind of odd sentence put into one of the paragraphs that otherwise deals, as I understand it, with aid to dependent children and child care. It says the penalties described in this subsection shall also be imposed if the individual is found by the court to have violated section 68-1017. That's the food stamp provision. That's also a criminal statute. So it seems to me unclear as to whether the intent is to mandatorily apply these penalties in addition to the criminal penalty, or whether it was intended that the civil penalty be a substitute for the criminal penalty. And I take it from Senator Maxwell's earlier discussion that that, in fact, is the intention. And so I changed the word "shall" to "may". But I might also say, parenthetically with respect to the food stamp provision, that it's unclear to me whether the intention...whether the procedural aspects of this particular bill are now intended to apply to food stamps, which I think was what Senator Maxwell was getting at, that they could have one hearing on all three types. But there are procedural statutes dealing with food stamps in section 68, which would appear to apply to food stamps and I don't see that food stamps is mentioned in Section 1 of this bill as being one of the programs to which this bill applies. So I'm wondering if there is still not some significant confusion here with regard to which procedures pertain, particularly if you're dealing with the food stamp program. So in dealing with that amendment, I would ask Senator Maxwell to take a look at that aspect of the bill. Senator Cudaback, that's all I have to say. May I ask if there are any lights on?

SENATOR CUDABACK: There are not, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, in that case, I'm going to simply withdraw this amendment and, Mr. Clerk, I'd withdraw the next