

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 20, 2003 LB 282

use-based exemption without restriction. And let's say that they, in fact, said, no, no, you can't, you can't be consistent with the agreement and have certain kinds of exemptions. Okay? This is the absolute worst case scenario from Senator Beutler, I think. And the answer is nobody can set Nebraska policy but us. An agreement that would change Nebraska's tax code can only come from us. We either agree or we don't agree. We either leave streamlining or we don't, but there is no way and nothing...there is no power in this document for anybody to write a law that covers Nebraska but us. We are the final say. We might get to a situation in which we had to choose between a set of definitions or a particular policy and...and in compliance with streamlined, and continuing to receive 20 or 30 or 40 million dollars a year by being part of the agreement, and that will be our choice. But it will be our choice and it will not be assisted, in my estimation, by this amendment. If, in fact, that's the problem, then streamlined itself is the problem. That streamlined can make its own amendments to its system is the problem. It's not that our representatives should come back here and ask our permission, that's not the problem, but it is the very structure of streamlining that is the problem and you should vote against LB 282. The point is, we can't get to this tax base. There are logistical and constitutional barriers to getting to this tax base. It's on our books and we can't get a dime of it. And here's a place where eight major retailers have said, you know what, in the face of this we will begin to comply if this goes through voluntarily. It is not in getting our representatives back home and giving them better instructions, but it is in the worst case scenario that Senator Beutler describes that, in fact, you have to decide whether LB 282 is a good idea, and that worst case scenario is that streamlining somehow ultimately gets to a place where their working definitions or their practices are at odds with what Nebraska wants to do and we have to choose, and that's the worst case scenario and it's not implicated by this amendment. I can live with it. I'm ready for that day to come. I trust the Legislature to do its business and to make a sound decision and, yes, there will be a tough day when we have to choose between 40 million bucks and doing something that a lot of other states are doing as well, because that's what's going to be there. That is the worst case scenario. That is taking this and saying