

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 20, 2003 LB 282

two, and then you would have a representative of the executive branch of government. The second thing...and this is going to strike you as a little unusual but it's really not. The second thing we need to do, in my opinion, is to see that these representatives do not vote to change the basic document unless we have approved of their vote. This is not a typical representative situation. This is a situation where we can and should exercise a closer degree of control. Remember that these representatives can essentially cast their ballots on three different types of things. They are the executive branch of government for this agreement, so anything having to do with the administration of the agreement they can cast their vote on. And would I ask them to come back and get direction from the Legislature on those votes? No, of course not. They are also kind of the judicial branch of government on this governing agreement, because they're the ones that decide whether a particular interpretation of the agreement is correct or not correct, and, parenthetically, on the basis of that they would then have the power to also determine whether somebody should be kicked out for having the wrong interpretation, or whether somebody should be sanctioned. And, by the way, there's no limitation on the sanctions that these people can create. They can determine what sanctions, so they are the judicial branch of government. But here's the area where they should be reined in. They can also make decisions about changing the basic policy that's contained in this document. That's a legislative function. That's policy. And to the extent that you tell these representatives do whatever you please, you are handing over to them the power to make the policy. That would be like saying to a committee of the Legislature, okay, you look over these things and whatever you decide that's what will be; you make the policy. But I'm saying that it should be like a committee of the Legislature. We appoint them to examine the policy and to make a recommendation with the policy, but not to adopt the policy without this body having the most effective input it can have on the policymaking. And how do we do that? Whenever they vote to change the basic agreement, to change the "bible," to make policy, they're required to give 60 days notice. And so my amendment says that when our representatives get this 60-day notice, they notify the Legislature and, if we are in session, not by bill but by resolution we indicate to them how we want