

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 19, 2003 LB 13

is, or to have this proposed list. I would suggest that if it actually came to that, that those constitutional officers that are listed have a full-time job. They have the duties of...and in some cases, they're wanting to take on more duties even now. But they have the full-time job of either being a Secretary of State, or being an Auditor, or being the Treasurer, responsible for many, many duties. I don't know...I mean, if we're going to look at it as if it really could happen, I think it might not be the most practical to have them also acting as Governor. With respect to Senator Brashear, if this thing goes forward, I still have a...somewhat of affinity, having been Transportation Chair for a number of years, and I see no reason why the Transportation Chair should be 14 below the Judiciary Chair. And an amendment would certainly be appropriate if this...if we go forward with this bill. (Laugh) So I...I'm not...I appreciate Senator Schimek trying to do the right thing. I'm just not sure that I'm convinced that there's a compelling reason to do this, or that this improves what actually would be the order, if you will, if we did have a disaster. I think that order that's in there, I think we could work with that. And so I'm going to listen some more, but I'm not convinced at this point. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the body. Senator Schimek, as I was listening to your conversation, and you were saying that the genesis of this was the tragedy on 9-11, it occurred to me that perhaps we should be addressing this with some kind of remedy where we would not allow the Governor, the Lieutenant Governor, and the Speaker to be in the same place at the same time, because in fact that would be much more of a safeguard than what we have here. Because in fact, on the day of our opening, when we swore in the Governor and the other officers, in fact, every one of the people on that list was present. So I don't know that adding a few more people to the list actually solves the dilemma that you propose to us. I'm certainly going to listen to the conversation as to whether the constitutional officers should be inserted there. But I don't know that that really solves the dilemma that we are faced with. Thank you.