

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 14, 2003 LB 99

SENATOR HARTNETT: No. No, Omaha...

SENATOR ERDMAN: So...

SENATOR HARTNETT: ...Omaha already has the 4 cents. It's all the other counties besides Omaha.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Okay. Well, let's use a different city then. Say that a different city builds a convention center, and within their convention center, and they use some of this money to do that, they build a hotel facility within that convention center. Then the other hotels in the state, or that community, however this is set up, pays into a fund that goes to build a facility that is in direct competition with them as a private hotel? Is that true or not?

SENATOR HARTNETT: It deals only with tourism attraction. It doesn't allow them to build hotels.

SENATOR ERDMAN: But if they built a convention center, are they allowed to do that?

SENATOR HARTNETT: The (inaudible), we could limit...I would be amenable to amendment to...so they wouldn't have another hotel within a convention center.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Well, and the only reason I, you know, I think that's an issue, because if it's a...if a convention center is tourism, which I think a lot of the communities that I see in my area are building a convention center inside of hotels, but I also know that, say in Gering, they've got a convention center that they attract people in and that's a part of their tourism attraction as well, I would be more inclined or be at least alleviated from some of my concerns on that side if we've got hotels that are paying in or the community is collecting a lodging tax against some hotels and then turning around and using that as direct competition against those same individuals in the idea that this is promoting tourism. So I don't...and I appreciate your willingness, and I don't know the answer, but if that is true, there's no limitations on that, I think we at least need to look at it. I don't know if we need to just jump