

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 13, 2003 LB 216

kind of out of the norm, that would give the director the ability to go back in and actually review that particular form on file. I think...is that was the reason behind this is?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, I assume that he has the power, anyway, to go back and look at the forms even under file and use. But the question would be, if I'm understanding it right, whether in light of one major incident, perhaps an intentional act of sorts...well, filing of a form almost has to be an intentional act. But that then that one act, if it were broad enough and deep enough and disastrous enough could be cause for saying that you cannot continue to use the file and use process. Do you have any problem with broadening the director's authority in that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...regard?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: I mean I see where you are going with this, and if I'd look down...the statute as it's written right now and the way that this particular statute...it talks about a general business practice. Your amendment would appear to I guess expand the scope beyond just a general business practice to a single egregious act. I mean that would be enough to...

SENATOR BEUTLER: It would add that to it, so you have two criteria instead of one. In fact, I think we just added that just...I don't know if it was the Department of Insurance but some other provisions relating to...was it the NRDs and their regulatory authority or in....

SENATOR QUANDAHL: (inaudible).

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...and, anyway, it's a typical provision in environmental law, for example, and in other areas, that not only is the pattern subject to review, but the seriousness of the offense, if that's what you want to call it, is subject to review.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: I guess I understand where you're going...