

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 13, 2003 LB 216

explore a couple of things with you if I could. The what you described as possible degrees of impingement, I can understand that there are differences between the parts of the amendment. Let me ask you about two or three specific parts here and see if you have objection to those; thinking maybe two or three of the sections might be all right and then maybe the department could take a look at the rest of them afterwards and see what they thought. With regard to the first part of the amendment that allows the option filing of other forms, I understand that in most cases it would be like going to the bleachers I'm sure. But I could still envision circumstances where one might want to have the director's approval early and soon. Is that one that is troublesome to you at this point?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: I guess the...as far as saying troublesome, personally troublesome, probably not. It's just that I can't right now imagine a circumstance where a provision like this would be utilized by insurers very frequently, if at all. And I think that's the message that we got from the Department of Insurance also.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But there wouldn't be anything harmed by putting it in, right?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: I guess I wouldn't think so, no.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Going to the second item, on page 45, line 24, that section, where it would add to the direct...this is adding to the director's prerogatives here. So it's not impinging on the director in any way but allowing him to have more flexibility so that not only could he consider the frequency of poor filings on the part of the insurer but he could consider the nature of the acts in determining whether the insurer continues...could continue to use file and use. Do you have any problem with that particular one?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: I guess when I look at that one right now is what we're trying to, I mean, get at and what this appears to get at is some sort of an egregious act, that if an insurance company otherwise I guess complies with the law and is a good corporate citizen, but then there is one egregious act that is