

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 12, 2003 LB 45

smoker, whereas now they'll be able to file an injunction against a business. So Senator Thompson pointed out some language on page 5 of the bill that talked about... and this is existing language, and the bill would not affect this. It says, where smoking areas are designated, existing physical barriers and ventilation systems shall be used to minimize the toxic effect of smoke in adjacent nonsmoking areas. As I read this bill, what would happen now is, a person who doesn't think that that smoke eater was minimizing the smoke could file an injunction against a business, force that business to go into court to defend the injunction against the smoking. Now, I know there's other lawyers on the floor, if they want to offer a comment. But if you go into a restaurant and smoking is authorized or allowed there under the Nebraska Clean Indoor Air Act, but what could happen is, if that individual, the affected individual, smells smoke, he can file an injunction, he or she can file an injunction, and that business would have to cease the smoking, as I understand it, until this was resolved in court. So what we're basically doing is we're forcing businesses to hire attorneys, go into court to defend their smoking policy. And I don't know if that's what we want to do here. I know Senator Byars has said that the committee is willing to work, and Senator Thompson has reiterated that as well. But I'm really concerned with allowing any person to file an injunction against any business regarding their smoking policy, causing them to go into court, hire attorneys, clogging up our courts further, more so than they already are. I hope we have more discussion on this. I think this is a huge problem. I don't know if this language could be tightened up to solve the problem. I think there's numerous unintended consequences here. Anybody can file an injunction. And an injunction is, it says, you will cease that activity. I don't think we're providing guidance to the court. What activity are you saying? Is it like I'm suggesting, that if an individual smells smoke on the nonsmoking side of a business, that they can file an injunction and the court has to give it to them? I think so. There's no guidance for the court here. What we're doing is we're forcing businesses to hire attorneys, spend needed...unnecessary dollars. We're going to add to our burdens of the court. I just...I think that this bill has numerous unintended consequences. Even despite Senator Byars' assurances that they'll work with us, I