

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 10, 2003 LB 282

Revenue Chair. It seemed self-serving. We thought perhaps the legislative...the Executive Council could choose an appropriate person. It might be Roger Wehrbein, the Appropriations Chair. It might be somebody else. It might be somebody who had the time and inclination. So we just let the Executive Board pick the legislative representative. And we didn't pick a tiebreaker, because we didn't want to spend the money, because we're just that cheap. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. We're discussing advancement of LB 282. Those senators wishing to speak are Senators Hartnett, Beutler, Jones, and Bromm. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, members of the body, I simply rise to support the bill. We started this process two years ago, when Senator Wickersham was Chairman of the committee. Our Tax Commissioner has been representing us on this streamline process. And in the time I've served in the Legislature, I think we have probably one of the better...the best...maybe the best Tax Commissioner I've worked with, in...as far as the process is concerned. And so I think this simply allows us to have someone at the table when this whole policy is being done (sic). Because if we're going to...the Internet is going to, I think, be the big driver. It has been. It's growing. It's going to be the big driver as far as sales tax. And so this gives us a chance to collect sales tax. So I simply support the bill as amended. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I want to reiterate again that I'm speaking on this whole bill from the point of view of being supportive of the effort. Going back to the discussion of representation and representation on the governing board of this new organization, and what it can do and cannot do, it seems to me that we ought to look at that from the very practical point of view of, once this system generates 50-100 million dollars, how bad would one of their decisions have to be before we'd withdraw? It would have to be pretty bad. As a practical matter, if this system as envisioned