

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 10, 2003 LB 282

The streamline project is not in loco parentis. When they make a decision...by the way, we will be able to participate in that decision making...but once they do, let's say that they made a series of definitions that would have significantly altered Nebraska tax policy. It doesn't change Nebraska tax policy. Nebraska, if it kept its own statutes on the books and didn't make any changes, would then have a problem between our law and what the streamline project's software was telling retailers. And in that case, both Nebraska could leave the streamlining project, or I think the streamlining project is free to say, you know, you're at such variance you're screwing up our software, in which case we part amicably. What we do not have is the streamline project telling us what our definitions are. It's why this statute is here. What we're doing with this statute is in fact making some conforming definitions at this stage, and moving our policy into a more easily understood and therefore more easily coded data for software. We are making some policy changes here to comport to the original definitions. But past that, we will be...if we want to change Nebraska tax policy, we'll do it on the floor of the Legislature in a bill. Streamline will not be making this decision. Does that mean that we need to have legislative domination? No, I don't think so, because any legislative decision ultimately will be made by us on the floor of the Legislature, if we need or want or choose to change our existing definitions. Now, I'm going to guess that we are going to get suggestions from streamlining, and we might even call them directives--here are some new model definitions. And my guess is you are going to see bills in the Legislature saying, here's the streamline...

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...definition; do we want to use it or not? But that decision will be ours. So from that basis, I don't accept the original premise that we're giving up tax policy. We will have the ultimate decision on tax policy. It will either be consistent with the streamline project or it won't. But the decision will be ours, not theirs. Do we need legislative dominance? I don't think so. We thought about picking the