

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2003 LB 282

taxable, as we wish, but if we use a term, it needs to comport with a Streamlined term. Would there be some tension between our policymaking and the definitions that they will have made?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: And the answer is... (Laughter)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Are you through, Senator Landis? Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: If Senator Landis would like to finish that brief statement, I will certainly yield him time to do so.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis.

SENATOR SMITH: The suspense is killing me.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. I was hoping that your suspenders would be killing you.

SENATOR SMITH: (Laugh)

SENATOR LANDIS: There is somewhat of a tension there. I would acknowledge that there's somewhat of a tension. We retain a great deal of flexibility, but I can't promise you that we have total flexibility and still stay inside the Streamlined Project. However, here are a couple of options. Number one, we can choose to define terms which are not defined by the Streamlined Project and we're okay. Secondly, we are one of the, if we ratify this, we're one of the organizations, we're one of the member states and can write and reform definitions at that level. And, third, we may leave the Streamlined Project if we wish. This is not one of those compacts, state compacts, where, once in, you're trapped. We can leave at any time. So the point at which we decide that the flexibility that we've lost is more important than the out-of-state distant marketer compliance is worth to us, we can drop this in a heartbeat. We might have some continuing financial obligations for that year's costs of the administration program, but that's not what we're talking about. In other words, we...if we part with sovereignty it's