

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2003 LB 85

this way, these are broad bargaining units. My guess is when you are at the table and if you're a union, it would be irresistible not to say, gosh, there are a lot of other unfunded or underpaid state employees, and let's talk about those, too. And those employees would be supported by general funds. And if you broaden the agenda, you might implicate the General Fund. You would not about these issues, these particular ones, and on that we agree completely and I hope the record is clear. This is a cash-funded agency. These two are cash-funded agencies only. There is no General Fund implication in the questions in LB 85. At the bargaining table, that becomes an open question. It is a value choice. Maybe you want to wait, give it a chance. Maybe you don't. I certainly will live with whatever the body chooses. For my sake, I will start from this premise. Of all the things we do in financial regulation, examination process is, by far the most important, safety and soundness. We're stretched to the breaking point and I've got in my hands a chance to remedy a significant problem. I, personally, in my set of value choices, am going to go forward with that. I'll resist the bracket motion. But if it's successful, I'll be back in a month, and if it is unnecessary and I get the word from, you know, the Insurance and Banking Departments to drop the bill, I will. And if it's necessary, I'll go forward with it because I believe in the agenda. I can live with either result. For myself, it's a value choice and my values are in taking action now to resolve what I regard as a serious problem, not so serious that the next month would make the difference between success and failure, but that I want control of this in my hands. I want to solve this problem, and I'm prepared to forego the vagaries of a negotiated result so that I can control a result that I really believe needs to happen and as soon as legitimately possible. I understand that others do not agree with that point of view, and this is the right format. I think Senator Beutler has put up the right question for the body because this bracket motion does give that period of time for a second mechanism to resolve the problem. I will live with the results of the body. I, personally, will vote against the bracket motion. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Beutler, on your bracket motion.