

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 5, 2003 LB 245

action to require DNA testing of that cigarette. Had it been disposed of, and it is biological evidence, the one thing that could establish innocence, if that is the only evidence, would not be available. So even though we're talking here about a very narrow range of offenses that involve drinking and driving, I don't know whether it would rise to the level of motor vehicular homicide or, you know, there are some serious charges that can flow out of driving under the influence. I would like to find some kind of language as narrowly drawn as possible to indicate that if the department is notified that there is a pending case where this material is needed, that it should be...it should not be destroyed. And off the top of my head I don't have that language, so here's what we could do, if you want to. Let the bill go ahead. Then, when we get to Final Reading...

SENATOR JENSEN: Pull it back.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But then, see, we would have to stop the bill from being read and bring it back to amend it after it had been printed, and that's what I was trying to avoid.

SENATOR JENSEN: However, Senator, we're early in the session. I don't think we're talking about that great a delay...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR JENSEN: ...that we could pull it back. This we're talking about is strictly for blood alcohol. There's not...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Exactly.

SENATOR JENSEN: ...this is not for DNA.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR JENSEN: And so if you feel that we need to add something more to that, I have no objection to pulling it back from Final Reading.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I would like to talk to somebody from HHS