

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 4, 2003 LB 126

here. This will not get them over past five years. This will not get them there. As you can see, at the end of the 2006 period, they're going to have \$395,000 on hand. Only \$395,000 on hand. That's hardly enough. If I had my way about it, I'd open this up. I'd let them...I trust this board. I just...I wouldn't have any ceiling on it. This is a responsible board. A board...think about it...a board doesn't come in for 16 years and ask for any raises because they want to be efficient. Do you trust that or not? You know? It's like motherhood here. I mean, it's...what can you say? I can say more, but I won't. If we continue like we're going, \$2,604,000 short. And if you want to do that, we can fire all the inspectors. We can get rid of them, won't have any inspectors. You want that on your homes and your houses you build, the commercial buildings downtown not being safe for your children, for your grandchildren to walk into because some idiot tried to cut corners? I can give you stories on what some...not all contractors, don't get me wrong. They try to cut corners, believe it or not. You have people, greedy people, who want to cut corners, whether it's two percent, five percent. But if you're in that business and that causes an electrical fire and you're rushing downstairs because the thing is on fire...and this happens all the time. Happened in Kearney, Nebraska, because...the building burnt up because of the wiring. You want that? Fine. All I'm saying is...I'm not trying to scare you, either. I wouldn't do that. But at the end of 2006, they're going to have \$395,000 left. I guarantee you, I'll be back in here, unless my time...I guess I won't be, because I'll be out of office by that time. Somebody else will be carrying that bill. They increase these fees again. This probably isn't enough. I'd open it up. I trust this board, absolutely trust this board. "Trust but verify," I guess I might say, borrowing that phrase from somebody else. But...and Senator Redfield's question, this is not...this is for...and I should emphasize this. This is for reinspection. Let's say Senator Byars is a contractor, and he does this kind of shabby work...which he wouldn't do, so it's just an example, Senator Byars; I want your vote. But anyway, we have to go back and reinspect what the inspector said was bad. Then he gets charged the extra. He will not get...this is for reinspection. You follow me? Are you all listening? This is for a reinspection. If you do shabby work and they have to come back, then you might