

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 4, 2003 LB 291

statement with the legality of this occasion, and of course they don't understand these terms and they get rattled off by these commissioners, and it looks like all the world for a court rather than a more taxpayer-friendly forum for them to raise their issues. What TERC asks for is to take judicial notice of these statutes and the like, put them in their paperwork, but not have to start their session with orally reciting these into the record. It clarifies that if there's a tie vote on TERC then it affirms the decision below. It allows authority to adopt rules and regs for the discovery of evidence between parties, and discovery of evidence is a legalistic...it's a litigation kind of technique, but it would be appropriate in TERC, particularly because there are very well-heeled appellants in this matter who would like to learn what the county has, and the county learn what the well-heeled appellants have up their sleeves coming into the TERC hearings. The provisions are essentially technical in nature. They provoked no controversy at the hearing, and the bill was reported out eight to nothing with a committee amendment, and I'll explain that, Mr. Speaker, when you call upon me. Thank you. That is the opening on LB 291, and I would urge its advancement, once amended.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Landis. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Revenue would offer committee amendment AM0044.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you. Senator Landis, you're recognized to open on the committee amendments on the bill.

SENATOR LANDIS: The committee amendment inserts the terms "assessed value, special value, or recapture value" in another place, other than the one that was already in the bill dealing with the equalization powers of the commission, and they do that because the commission can be doing work with respect to the valuation of greenbelts, and recapture value is a specific greenbelt kind of phrase. So this was to take the reference to those three kinds of value and apply them to the greenbelt section, and we missed one citation. We put it back in, in this committee amendment. Secondly, we change the term "actual value" to "assessed value" to make use of those terms