

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 3, 2003 LB 385

should continue our commitment, but perhaps we could slow up the use of that money if we got absolutely necessary come the 1st, 15th, 20th of May. Things continue to decline, which I'm inclined to think they are going to decline, we would have an option there. Not take the money away, but simply set it aside for two or three years till we saw or see how things develop. And this is one of the awkward things we're doing in our budget is no one knows for sure what's ahead, but it seems to me we ought to look on the bleak side because it doesn't look that bright to me in many cases.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Tyson, followed by five others.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. I just made a couple of observations. Number one, I believe that the state budgetary problems are going to persist for more than a year or two. I think we are talking about six, or seven, or eight years. And if you'll analyze it, at least on the basis that I have, I think that's sound thinking. I don't think that the recovery as regards revenue into the state is going to recover as fast...well, obviously, it's not going to recover as fast as the economy. I don't know when the economy is going to recover, but our recovery will be some time after that. Now, as to our moral obligation, we don't deal in morality, generally. We deal in a legalized version of the spoil system. The 30 percent was put in this bill to entice people not in the city of Omaha or its surrounding territory; it was meant to entice the rural vote, and it got it. The bill, which I think was Senator Lynch's bill, got a very high vote. Mine wasn't among them. Senator Raikes' amendment, that I rise in opposition to, in essence does just exactly what it was described to do. He could have "IPPed", he could have put in a motion to indefinitely postpone this with about the same results since he takes, number one, a single project, which is...that receive a minimum...he strikes a minimum of \$20,000, and a maximum of zero dollars. An IPP would have been less effort to write down. I think that Senator Janssen's bill, of which I'm a cosponsor, deserves your support, and to do that I think you have to vote against the Raikes amendment, and at least that will honor the commitment, which we have no obligation to do, but it will at least honor