

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2003 LB 30

the jurisdiction for that particular kind of action, and there might be other criteria under...according to which attorneys' fees are assessed. Would you agree in general with that?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: In general that's true. I do remember that in insurance matters there is a specific statute that provides, if the policyholder has to sue the insurance company, that the insurance company is subject to liability to them for attorneys' fees.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, that would be the policyholder.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Yes, that's right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But not the Attorney General's Office.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: No. I know of no other.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Excuse me a second. I'm going to see if Senator Schrock has something for me. I'm going to continue with Senator Pederson since I'm trying to compile a record. And Senator Schrock is going to pull the amendment and there will be additional work on Select File. But I want to get some things out there in the open now so others might be providing information. Senator Don Pederson, if a lawyer is already receiving a salary paid by the taxpayers, which any assistant or deputy attorney general would do, on what basis would an attorney's fee be tacked on top of that, if you can give me a logical answer?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: I think we had a little discussion about that on the license revocation law where those were assigned by the Attorney General because he didn't want to do them for whatever reason. The local county attorneys did those and then a fee was assessed by the judge, generally based upon time, but in my county it wasn't based upon time, it was a flat amount irrespective of time.

SENATOR ENGEL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, again, that was not the Attorney General