

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2003 LB 35

SENATOR SCHROCK: What's the fourth one?

SENATOR BEUTLER: So, instead of having a uniform state definition, what your intent is at least is to allow every NRD to have their own definition of what a beneficial use is.

SENATOR SCHROCK: That is correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: All right. And could a beneficial use exclude manufacturing and industrial?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I think if the NRD would want to determine that that would...they could do that, but I would suspect that they would not do that, depending upon the type of activity would be...that there would be. This just gives the authority to the NRDs to determine what a beneficial use is; turns the responsibility over to them.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. But your intent is not to empower them to declare what we all ordinarily understand to be beneficial uses from...you don't intend to give them the power to exclude those beneficial uses.

SENATOR SCHROCK: No. No.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So you wouldn't object to a rephrasing of this that acknowledged, that continued to acknowledge, that domestic, agricultural, manufacturing, or industrial were beneficial uses, but then...

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, I...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...allowed them the power to expand.

SENATOR SCHROCK: I wouldn't have a problem with that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And...you know, it's interesting to me, on this bill you're giving a rather broad authority to the local NRD to determine, based upon the circumstances in the NRD, whether or not they want to use...allow well water to be used