

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2003 LB 359

you discussed. The first one appears in the first bill, so this wouldn't be any more controversial than that one, in that regard. And the controversy, it is believed, would stem from the requirements of new voters presenting identification before they could register. Is that correct?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I believe so, Senator.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. In terms of the state...or what might happen on a statewide basis, certainly the biggest effort considering all three bills would be the creation of a statewide registered voter list. Is that correct?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, I think so.

SENATOR RAIKES: And I noticed that that's \$150,000 in the first year and \$2 million in the second year, and that would be, I assume, mostly for software development or computer-type work?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I believe so. And I think I mentioned in the discussion of the last bill that all of the counties are now on PCs, but they're not all interactive with the Secretary of State's Office. So we might have to help some of them get interactive and, of course, the Secretary of State's software might have to be updated as well. I don't...I really don't know the particulars of all of that, if it's going to require any new hardware. At this point, I don't think we know that.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. So if you had to list for me, considering the package of all three bills, the three or I'll give you up to four most significant changes being proposed here, the first one would be spending the \$2 million-plus for the statewide voter registration. What would be the next two or three most important things that are going to happen here?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, there are further requirements down the line that we, for instance, put equipment at each poll that allows handicap accessibility.

SENATOR RAIKES: But that's not in these bills.