

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2003 LB 295

Does that answer your question? No. (Laugh)

SENATOR RAIKES: That's maybe an interesting proposal. I...while I've got a little time, though, I'll ask you one question, just because I'm curious as to your opinion. To arrive at a comparable sale, would it be possible that, say, you go find an 80 that sold, and this 80 happened to have farmland, a residence, and farm site. So you've got a total price for the 80 acres. You subtract off how much you think the farmland counted for that, how much you think for the house, and then the residual is maybe the sale price you use to compare?

SENATOR BAKER: I think the key word there is, "you think." What...you're making a judgment there, what you think the value is. And an assessor is going to have to go back to comparable sale of a residence.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BAKER: They can't...they're going to have to tie it...and that's in the statutes now. That's what we base all assessment on, is comparable sales. So in that case, you're going to have to go back to comparable sale of a residence in the area, and value that residence on the 80 acres at that value. We're not talking about the house itself here. We're talking about the farm site.

SENATOR RAIKES: We're not talking about the house or the acre under the house, right?

SENATOR BAKER: That's right.

SENATOR RAIKES: It's the area beyond that. Okay. Thank you, Senator Baker, and thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BROMM PRESIDING

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Senator...a question for Senator Baker, if I might. Looking at the amendment, and looking at the overall