

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2003 LB 295

Section 3 in your amendment. Is that right?

SENATOR BAKER: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And just tell me one more time, what in that language is important to effectuate what end?

SENATOR BAKER: The new language?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah.

SENATOR BAKER: To me, it's the important physical, functional, and location characteristics, meaning, if that assessor takes a look at this particular farm site...he or she...that assessor will know that it's part of a functioning, working farm, rather than an acreage sold off of a farm. The key word to me is "functional." If it's...if an operating farm, a person managing that farm lives on this site, that's a part of his duties, you know, to...it would be considered a part of the working farm. It's a function of...and in some cases, IRS, of course, requires residences to be part of a so-called working farm in order to meet requirements in various statutes dealing with taxes and whatnot, on the federal level.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And if it's determined..."functional" is to mean it is part of an operating farm?

SENATOR BAKER: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's what you intend it to mean?

SENATOR BAKER: That's what I have penciled in here. And that was some of the language I did want to visit with the Property Assessment Taxation Office. But "functional," to me, would be part of an operating farm.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And if it's part of an operating farm, how does the taxation differ than if it's part of an acreage, for example?

SENATOR BAKER: It would be valued at...as actually part of that