

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2003 LB 295

tell you what is a source of concern for me. The bill, up until now, has all been about farm sales and farm...not farm sales, but farm sites, the taxing of farm sites. The amendment that Jay Rempe, I think, has brought to Tom...I'm going to guess that's the origin of this...makes clarification in very general statutes about what constitutes an actual value for all property, not just farm sites, but all property. Now, the addition, I don't think, has any prob...I don't think the addition is a problem. But the change to 77-112 is a change that...that is a change of actual value for all kinds of property, not just farm sites. And the change in 77-1371 that's advocated here, that comparable sales are recent sales of properties that are similar in important physical, functional, locational characteristics, is a change to a general statute that affects all property. I can't see any problem with the language. But what happens with this amendment is, what was a bill about the taxing of farm sites becomes added definitions for general functions that affect all property. Here's my perspective. I'm going to vote for the amendment, out of deference to Tom, and secondly, because I think I can't see anything that's wrong with the language. But I'm going to raise a flag here that if we're going to change a general revenue definition affecting all the property in the state, I would think that we ought to get better notice from the lobby than ten minutes before it hits the floor. That strikes me as not as effective kind of notice as we should have. My guess is...I'm going to check with Cathy Lang...she's going to say exactly...she gave you the language, I think. She's going to say this is fine. I'm going to think it's fine. But we're...this moves the bill from being about farm sites to actual value for all property in the state. Doesn't make any problems, but a little better notice from the lobby would be fair. I think you'd say the same thing, Tom. Anyway, I'll vote for the amendment, I'll vote for the advancement.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Baker on your AM0104.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I have...I echo Senator Landis' opinion on this. I just had talked to people in the lobby. This did come from Cathy Lang, this