

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 29, 2003 LB 122

SENATOR CHAMBERS: To \$4. And so they would raise that how much for...when they raise this yearly permit, then they would raise the daily permit also. Is that true?

SENATOR SCHROCK: They would stairstep that also.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And how much would they raise that in the first step?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, I think they would go to \$3 the first step, then \$3.50 the second, and then \$4 on the final step. This was just...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then they'll come back here again and tell us if we don't give them some more money, the parks are going to go to pot.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Hopefully not in my time in this Legislature. I would not want to predict whether you would be here or not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think, Senator Schrock, that all the things on this list that you handed to us that they spend money for are essential to having a park, or are they some of the extras that people want to put, as would be the case if you were going to buy what they call a fully-loaded car?

SENATOR SCHROCK: So are they running a "Cadillac" or a "Chevrolet?" Is that what you're trying to say?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, it's hard to say and I don't know if we can compare that, but let's put it this way. If they weren't running a good park system, people wouldn't go to the parks. And so, you know, if it's a fancy place, why, you might have more customers. If it's a place that's pretty bare bones, you may not get many people to show up. So...but I think for the most part their facilities lie somewhere in between.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do they have a listing someplace of how many