

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2003 LB 306

Parks did it. I spent a lot of time talking to Senator Schrock, and he was very helpful, to establish that these fees that we see here for fishing and hunting don't tell the whole story. You've got to have the aquatic stamp. You've got to have the habitat stamp. And when you add the \$13 to the hunting license, it brings it up to \$24 that it costs to hunt. When you add the \$5 to the fishing license, it brings it up to \$20. So those are the figures we need to have to see what a person actually is spending. It doesn't make the person who spends this money any difference whether they're going to segregate out parts of this money for various funds, usages and whatnot. The person who buys a fishing license and wants to fish knows that his or her pocket is going to be lightened by \$20; to hunt, lightened by \$24. Mr. Speaker, I'm not stopping, but I'm going to take a second, and my time is running while I consult with my resident expert. Mr. Speaker, how much time do I have left?

SPEAKER BROMM: You have 1 minute and 30 seconds.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In fairness, Senator Stuhr has some information on Missouri, and I would like to ask her to share that with us for the sake of the record.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, thank you, Senator Chambers. One-eighth of a cent sales tax is dedicated to Missouri Game and Parks. How many millions of dollars that might be I don't know exactly, but that is how they get some of their revenue.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But in Nebraska, some of the money to Game and Parks comes from the General Fund directly, doesn't it?

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: Less than 20 percent.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, do you think that that percentage of a sales tax you mention makes up 20 percent of Missouri's money for their parks?