

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2003 LB 306

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's higher than any of these other figures from any of these states, except South Dakota, which is \$27.

SENATOR STUHR: I also have information on the Wyoming habitat stamp, and that is an additional \$10. Iowa is an additional \$8.50. So I'm sure that they were just looking, when they did this summary, looking at the license for hunting, license for fishing, because there are other states that also have the habitat stamps.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How much is Colorado's habitat stamp, or don't they have one?

SENATOR STUHR: I don't have that information.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But this, when you add that \$13, it pushes...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Chambers, you've spoken three times. You'd be recognized to close on your motion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right. I'd like to ask Senator Stuhr another question. Senator Stuhr, while you look at that page, if you have what I'm going to ask you, I'd appreciate you helping me. For a resident fishing license in Nebraska, the current cost is \$15. How much is the aquatic stamp in Nebraska?

SENATOR STUHR: Five dollars.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So that pushes it up to \$20. Iowa, to fish in Iowa is \$11; South Dakota, \$21; Kansas, \$18.50; Wyoming, \$15; Missouri, \$11; Colorado, \$20.25. When we add the aquatic stamp, Nebraska's is above Iowa's, \$1 less than South Dakota, it's above Kansas, above Wyoming, above Missouri, and 25 cents less than Colorado. These figures that we're given don't tell the whole story and I had asked earlier did you generate these figures or did Game and Parks, and you pointed out that Game and