

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2003 LB 306

SENATOR SCHROCK: I don't know. Maybe you're hungry.
(Laughter)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't eat squirrels. Anyway, you...

SENATOR SCHROCK: They tell me they're pretty delicious. I've not eaten one myself.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You've been...do you cook them first?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I would hope so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. You've been helpful on those two items, Senator Schrock. Thank you. And even though you couldn't give me the precise amount, you're beginning to see how cagey, wily and cunning is the Game and Parks Commission. I'd like to ask Senator Mines a question.

SPEAKER BROMM: Time. Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: (Microphone malfunction) ...Speaker and members of the body, I do have that information for you, Senator Chambers. The revenue from the habitat stamps is about \$1.5 million; from the aquatic stamps it is \$1,025,000. But I would also like to share that in the wildlife area alone a total of \$8.8 million was expended in 2001, and wildlife focuses on four main areas: research and inventory, resource management, habitat management, and education. And there's also a breakdown that those monies used from those stamps then are used in the following ways. Where did the money go? Three point six million went for maintaining and establishing habitat in public use facilities; \$2.5 million for private and other public land habitat and access enhancements, wetland restoration, county roadside seating; \$1.5 million for research and resource management for game, nongame, natural heritage, threatened and endangered species; \$850,000 for administrative and vehicle support services; \$700,000 for acquisition of new wildlife management areas; \$313,000 for capital development on wildlife management areas, including habitat enhancements. I guess I take issue with one of your statements in the fact that you said