

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2003 LB 245

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, followed by Senator Chambers.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Jensen, if I may again, just to get the idea of what's going on in some of these sections, on page 29, there is a change in the number of days that a small business person would have to implement income withholding with respect to child support, and the old law required them to implement income withholding no later than the first pay period that occurs after 14 days following the date on the notice. So they'd get the notice and then the pay period that started after 14 days would be the first one they'd have to deal with. Now it's being changed to say the first pay period that occurs following the date of the notice, striking the 14 days. If it happened that the pay period ended just at the same time that you got the notice, wouldn't you be in a situation where you might have just a day or two to respond, or does it not work that way?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Jensen.

SENATOR JENSEN: Okay. This again is by a federal mandate. One of the conditions relates to the income withholding notice to employees. The state must revise its procedures and income notice to indicate that income withholding is effective immediately. That's the...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, we have no...we have absolutely no choice on that, is that the fact?

SENATOR JENSEN: That's my understanding, that we do not have a choice.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. On page 41, there is a licensure provision relating to veterinary technicians, increasing their fee amount from the old range of \$5 to \$40, from...to \$20 to \$500. Is this provision made obsolete by the prior bill or not? Or is this...I don't understand how this relates to the prior fee bill.