

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2003 LB 107

be introduced? I don't mean a person by name.

SENATOR JENSEN: No, the Association of Private Colleges here in Nebraska. Is that what you mean?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How did they explain...did they say that, by getting this bill passed, they're going to reduce tuition?

SENATOR JENSEN: No, they did not say that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What did they say they were going to do with the money?

SENATOR JENSEN: What they said, this would be another opportunity for us to improve the education and the educational facilities within our institution if we could be allowed to go into the market, into the bond market, and get a tax-free bond for these times of shortfall in our yearly economic picture.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And since they are religious people, they're not going to withhold a part of the story because a lie can be told by not giving all of the information needed to answer a question. That's even under the law. Every word stated may be factually true,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...but if not all the words are stated that need to be stated to give a complete and full answer, that can be considered perjury, because it's designed to mislead. Now these groups that came to you acknowledge that they're talking about improving facilities and not reducing tuition. You just kind of threw that in. Is that true?

SENATOR JENSEN: Well, it all has an overall effect, I think. Yes, they did not tell me it was going to reduce tuition. I think there's a chance that it could.